

# Random Walks on Graphs

Gil Cohen

October 17, 2021

# Random walks

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected  $d$ -regular graph, and  $\mathbf{p}$  a probability distribution on  $V$ , thought of as a vector  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^V$ .

A **random step** on  $G$ , starting from a probability distribution  $\mathbf{p}$ , is the process in which we

- 1 sample  $v$  according to  $\mathbf{p}$ ;
- 2 sample a neighbor  $u$  of  $v$  uniformly at random, and return  $u$ .

If  $\mathbf{p}_{\text{new}}$  is the distribution over  $V$  after taking a random step, then for every  $v \in V$ ,

$$\mathbf{p}_{\text{new}}(v) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{u \in \Gamma(v)} \mathbf{p}(u).$$

# Random walks

$$\mathbf{p}_{\text{new}}(v) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{u \in \Gamma(v)} \mathbf{p}(u).$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{p}_{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{d} \mathbf{M}_G \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{W}_G \mathbf{p}.$$

A length  $t$  **random walk** is the probabilistic process of taking  $t$  consecutive random steps. The corresponding distributions are given by

$$\mathbf{p}_t = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{p}_{t-1} = \mathbf{W}^2 \mathbf{p}_{t-2} = \cdots = \mathbf{W}^t \mathbf{p}_0 = \mathbf{W}^t \mathbf{p}.$$

# The normalized adjacency matrix

We denote the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{W}$  by  $\omega_1 \geq \omega_2 \geq \dots \geq \omega_n$ .

One can show that  $\omega_1 \leq 1$ . The Perron-Frobenius Theorem implies that

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbf{W}) \subset [-1, 1].$$

We denote

$$\omega(G) = \max(|\omega_2|, |\omega_n|).$$

By P-F,  $G$  is connected and not bipartite  $\iff \omega(G) < 1$ .

## Theorem

Assume that  $G$  is connected and not bipartite. Then, a random walk from any initial distribution converges to the **stable distribution**  $\pi$  which is uniform over  $V$ .

# The rate of convergence

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{p}_0 = \delta(u)$  for some  $u \in V$ . Then, for every  $v \in V$ ,

$$|\mathbf{p}_t(v) - \pi(v)| \leq \omega(G)^t.$$

So, we see that the smaller  $\omega(G) = \max(|\omega_2|, |\omega_n|)$  is, the faster is the convergence.

In the context of Cheeger's inequality, we asked how small can  $\omega_2$  be. Here we see a stronger bound is required.